SI. No.	District	Name of block		Area (Sq. Kms.)
	Cuddapah	7. Tambalapalle	TOTAL:	1088
		8. Voyalpad		1008
		1. Jammalamadugu		
		2. Kamalapuram		7761
		3. Lakkireddipalli		
3.		4. Muddanur		931
				729
				1157
				830
		5. Porumamilla		2217
		6. Pulivendla		1333
		7. Rayachoti		1028
		1. TOTAL:		
		2. Kothagudem		8225
		1. V. Chandrapuram		
4.	Khammam			654
			TOTAL:	574
		Adoni		1228
5.	Kumool			1191
		2. Allagadda Alur		1567
		3.		1664
		4. Atmakur		1979
		5. Banganapalli		994 2166
		6. Dhone		
		7. Kodumur		606
		8. Koilkuntla		1153
		9. Kumool		1053
		10. Nandikotkur		840
		11. Nandyal		1730
		12. Pattikonda		1664
	Mahabubnagar	13. Yemmiganur	TOTAL:	759
		1. 2. Achampet		
		3. Amangal		17366
		Atmakur		
6.				3182
				1104
				1041

SI. No.	District	Name of block		Area (Sq. Kms.)
		4. Bijinapalli		704
		5. Gadwal		1191
		6. Jadcherla		960
		7. Kalwakurthy		1260
		8. Kodargal		622
		9. Kollapur		1494
		10. Maddur		565
		11. Makthal		1348
		12. Manopad		1127
		13. Mchababnagar		837
		14. Nagarkurnool		687
		15. Shadnagar		903
		Wanaparthy		1153
			TOTAL :	18178
		16.		
7.	Medak	Jahherabad		1251
		2. Jogipet		927
		3. Narayanakhd		959
		4. Pattachcrvu		587
		Sadasivapeta		599
			TOTAL :	4323
		5.		
8.	Nalgonda	Chintapalli		1311
		2. Dcvcrkonda		1505
		3. Mothkur		727
		4. Munugode		613
		5. Nakrakal		510
		6. Nalgonda		1056
		7. Ramannapet		793
		8. Survapct		934
		9. Thungathurthy		729
		1.	TOTAL :	8178
9.	Prakasam	Bestavaripet		595

SI. No.	District		Name of block	Area (Sq. Kms.)
	Rangareddy	2.	Giddalur	2118
		3.	Kanigiri	1383
		4.	Kondapi	724
		5.	Korisipadu	757
		6.	Maddipadu	632
		7.	Markapur	2016
	Srikakulam	8.	Ongole	569
		9.	Podili	1134
		10.	Santhamagalpur	536
		11.	Tallur	1003
		12.	Tarlapadu	963
		13.	Veligandla	1215
		14.	Yerragondapalem	1520
			TOTAL:	15165
10.		1.	Chevella	889
		2.	Ibrahimpatnam	846
		3.	Maheswaram	554
		4.	Marapalli	593
		5.	Parigi	903
		6.	Tandur	956
		7.	Vikarabad	794
			TOTAL:	5535
11.		1.	Ichapuram	352
		2.	Kasibugga	285
		3.	Mandasa	442
		4.	Ranasthalam	387
			TOTAL:	1466
	ANDHRA PRADESH	94	BLOCKS	99218

Statement-II

The year-wise sanction of watershed projects for various Districts of Andhra Pradesh under DP AP. The total project cost is released in seven instalments. The figures, in brackets, represent the number of instalments released against each batch of projects

Sl. No.	Districts	No. of Blocks	No. of projects sanctioned (Batch Nos.)							Projects where all 7 Instal-ments released	Ongoing Projects
			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	Proofs		
			95-96	96-97	97-98	98-99	99-00	00-01	01-02			
1.	Adilabad	9	53(7)	27(7)	10(7)	60(3)	80(2)	18(1)	7(1)	255	90	165
2.	Chittoor	8	47(7)		58(7)	55(4)	47(2)	113(1)	0	320	105	215
3.	Cuddapah	7	41(7)		40(5)	50(4)	41(3)	16(1)	16(1)	204	41	163
4.	Kumool	13	76(7)		40(6)	105(4)	76(2)	40(1)	39(1)	376	76	300
5.	Khammam	2	10(7)	6(7)	14(6)	15(4)	16(2)	14(1)	0	75	16	59
6.	Medak	5	28(7)	15(7)	20(7)	30(4)	43(1)	20(1)	0	156	63	93
7.	Mahabubnagar	16	92(7)		40(5)	120(4)	92(2)	35(1)	36(1)	415	92	323
8.	Nalgonda	9	49(7)		30(6)	75(5)	49(3)	12(1)	18(1)	233	49	184
9.	Prakasam	14	78(7)		20(6)	110(4)	78(2)	41(1)	28(1)	355	78	277
10.	Rangareddy	7	39(7)		30(5)	50(4)	39(2)	5(1)	14(1)	177	39	138
11.	Srikakulam	4	14(7)	12(7)	19(6)	30(3)	26(2)		8(1)	109	26	83
	TOTAL	94	527	60	321	700	587	314	166	2675	675	2000

Breach of Supreme Court order at Ayodhya

* 46. SHRI NILOTPAL BASU:
SHRIMATI SAROJ DUBEY:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the top VHP leaders had breached the Supreme Court order and entered the disputed site at Ayodhya;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the security agency responsible for the maintenance of the *status quo* at'the disputed site as per the Court order;

(d) whether any responsiblity has been fixed as the incident had potential to adversely affect communal harmony in the country;

(e) if not, the reasons therefor; and

(0 the action proposed to be taken by Government to stop such incidents in future?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI I.D. SWAMI): (a) and (b) According to the report furnished by the Government of Uttar Pradesh, S/Shri Ashok Singhal and S.C. Dixit, along with around 20 persons, entered the premises of the disputed site at Ayodhya on 17.10.2001. On being persuaded by the local officials, they vacated the disputed premises. The State Government has further infomred that the action of these persons did not constitute a violation of the Supreme Court order to maintain *status quo* at the site.

(c) In terms of the Acquisition of Certain Area at Ayodhya Act. 1993 and the Supreme Court order dated 24.10.1994, the Central Government has been charged with the responsibility to maintain *status quo* at the disputed site. Since this involves crowd control and maintenance of public order, the security of the disputed site has been entrusted to the State Government. The CRPF has nevertheless been deployed at the site to assist the State Government.

(d) and (e) The State Government has placed two of its officers, namely, Shri Alok Kumar, Deputy Collector/Magistrate and Circle

Officer/Deputy SP under suspension. The CRPF has also", after holding a court of inquity, decided to transfer the Deputy Commandant and the Inspector in-charge of the Company .deployed on the site at the time of incident, as also to convey 'displeasure' to these officials.

(f) Besides beefing up security at the disputed site and promulgating prohibitory orders under Section 144 of the CrPC, the 'darshan' at the premises will henceforth be regulated by forming batches of devotees. The State Government has filled up the posts of Magistrates and Deputy SPs, hitherto lying vacant, for a more effective implementation of the security plan. In addition, the State Government has undertaken a review of the security of the premises with a view to warding off various kinds of threats to the disputed premises.

Encouraging private sector in Defence Research and Development

* 47. SHRI NARENDRA MOHAN: Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Research and Development efforts in Defence armament and equipment are adequate to meet our growing needs in the present scenario and whether we arc dependent upon foreign sources for sensitive equipment thus spending our scarce foreign exchange; and

(b) if so, whether Government propose to encourgc synthesis of efforts of private sector and universities with regard to undertaking defence research and ultimate production of defence equipment using latest technological developments, so that over a period of time India becomes self-reliant in this sector?

THE MINISTER OF DEFENCE (SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES): (a) Defence Research and Development Organisation (DRDO) has acquired considerable technological competence to meet requirement of our Armed Forces. These